	Case 3:21-cv-03496-AMO	Document 476	Filed 03/10/25	Page 1 of 3				
1 2 3	MCCAULLEY LAW GROUP LLC JOSHUA V. VAN HOVEN, (CSB No. 262815) E-Mail: josh@mccaulleylawgroup.com 3001Bishop Dr., Suite 300 San Ramon, California 94583 Telephone: 925.302.5941							
4 5 6	RICHARD T. MCCAULLEY (E-Mail: richard@mccaulleylaw 180 N. Wabash Avenue, Suite 6 Chicago, Illinois 60601 Telephone: 312.330.8105	group.com						
7	Attorneys for Plaintiff and Counter-Defendant, SURGICAL INSTRUMENT SERVICE COMPANY, INC.							
9	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT							
10	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA							
11	SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION							
12 13 14 15	SURGICAL INSTRUMENT SI COMPANY, INC. Plaintiff,	ERVICE	SERVICE COM OBJECTION TO	RGICAL INSTRUMENT IPANY, INC.'S O DEFENDANT RGICAL, INC'S				
16	V.							
17 18	INTUITIVE SURGICAL, INC.	,						
19	Defendant.		The Honorable A Complaint Filed:	raceli Martinez-Olguin				
20			_ Complaint Fried.	1914y 10, 2021				
21	DI AINTELE CUD CUCAT INCEDIDATENTI CEDIVICE CONTRANY INC. C							
22	PLAINTIFF SURGICAL INSTRUMENT SERVICE COMPANY, INC.'S <u>OBJECTION TO DEFENDANT'S "RESPONSE"</u>							
2324	Defendant Intuitive Surgical, Inc. ("Intuitive") claiming taxable costs of over half-million							
	·	•	_					

dollars timely filed a bill of costs in this matter. (Dkt. 470). Pursuant to Civil L.R. 54-2, Plaintiff Surgical Instrument Service Company, Inc. ("SIS") timely filed its objections to Intuitive's bill of costs. (Dkt. 473). Thereafter, Intuitive filed a "Response" (Dkt. 475) to SIS's objections.

¹ Pursuant to Civil L.R. 54-2(b), Counsel for SIS met and conferred with Intuitive's counsel in an

//

//

SIS objects to the filing and any consideration of Intuitive's "Response", and respectfully requests that the Clerk disregard it entirely when determining taxable costs in this matter.

The Civil Local Rules for the Northern District of California provide guidance and set out the procedures for filing the bill of costs (Civil L.R. 54-1), for objecting to the bill of costs (Civil L.R. 54-2), the standard for taxing costs (Civil L.R. 54-3), and for the determination of taxable costs (Civil L.R. 54-4). Nowhere do these rules authorize a party to file a "Response" to another party's objections to the bill of costs.

The Clerk did not require any supplemental documentation from Intuitive, see Civil L.R. 54-4(a).² Intuitive's "Response" does not constitute appropriate supplemental documentation, i.e., further affidavits or additional documentation to support each item claimed in the bill of costs. Intuitive's "Response" is not an amended bill of costs. Instead, Intuitive filed a "Response" presenting nothing more than a lengthy attorney argument that is not provided for under the applicable FRCP and Civil L.R. regarding costs. Finally, Intuitive failed to obtain leave of the Court to file its argumentative "Response" brief. Accordingly, the Clerk should disregard it entirely in determining taxable costs in this matter.

effort to resolve disagreement about the taxable costs claimed in the bill without success.

² 54-4. Determination of Taxable Costs

- (a) Supplemental Documentation. The Clerk may require and consider further affidavits and documentation as necessary to determine allowable costs.
- (b) Taxation of Costs. No sooner than 14 days after a bill of costs has been filed, the Clerk shall tax costs after considering any objections filed pursuant to Civil L.R. 54-2. Costs shall be taxed in conformity with 28 U.S.C. §§ 1920 and 1923, Civil L.R. 54-3, and all other applicable statutes and rules. On the bill of costs or in a separate notice, the Clerk shall indicate which, if any, of the claimed costs are allowed and against whom such costs are allowed. The Clerk shall serve copies of the notice taxing costs on all parties on the day in which costs are taxed.

I	Case 3:21-cv-03496-AMO	Document 476	Filed 03/10/25	Page 3 of 3			
1	Dated: March 10, 2025		McCAULLEY LAW GROUP LLC				
2			By: <u>/s/ Richard McCaulley</u>				
3			E-Mail: richard@	CCAULLEY (pro hac vice) mccaulleylawgroup.com			
5			180 N. Wabash A Chicago, Illinois Telephone: 312.3	60601			
6			rerephone. 3 12.3	30.0103			
7			JOSHUA V. VAN	N HOVEN (CSB 262815)			
8			3001 Bishop Dr.,				
9			San Ramon, Cali Telephone: 925.3				
10			1				
11	Attorneys for SURGICAL INSTRUMENT SERVICE COMPANY, INC.						
12							
13							
14							
15							
16							
17							
18							
19							
20							
21							
22							
23							
24							
25							
26							
27							
28							
-		3	PLAINTIFF'S ODEFENDANT' Case No. 3:21-	OBJECTION TO S RESPONSE Dkt. 475 cv-03496-AMO			